

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

EMARKS #;

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FORTUGAL 09/027,439 02/20/98 044198.0000 **EXAMINER** 020790 HM22/0913 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD SOUAYA, J 1900 FROST BANK FLAZA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 816 CONGRESS AVENUE AUSTIN TX 78701 1655 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No 42 34 09/027 089

Applicant(s)

Portugal

Examiner

Jehanne Souaya

Art Unit **1655**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IO EAFINE 3 INIONTH(3) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely.	a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication.	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 20, 2	001
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>21-39</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 21-36	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>37-39</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/027,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

1. Currently, claims 37-39 are pending. The request filed on June 20, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/027,439 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows. Any rejections not reiterated are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections are reiterated. They constitute the complete set being presently applied to the instant Application. This action is NON-FINAL.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cilia et al or Hogan et al (US patent 5,714,321, 102(e) date is 2/24/94) in view of Faruque et al (J. Clinical Microbiology, 1992, vol. 30, pp 2996-2999).

The claims are drawn to nucleotide sequences of 10-40 nucleotides that comprise regions of the 16s ribosomal RNA or DNA sequences of Shigella species and E. Coli, wherein these sequences are capable of distinguishing Shigella from E.coli. Cilia et al teaches sequence heterogeneities among 16s RNA sequences of E. Coli and Shigella (see abstract, and figure 3) and teaches nucleotide differences among Eubacteria by showing a line up of regions from 16s genes

Application/Control Number: 09/027,439

Art Unit: 1655

Page 3

across species levels, showing the nucleotide sequence similarities and differences. Hogan also teaches a method for preparing probes for use in qualitative and quantitative assays wherein the probes are capable of detecting and differentiating between eubacteria (see abstract). Hogan also teaches the hybridization of E. Coli probes to closely related organisms such as Shigella boydii, Sh. flexneri, Sh. dysenteriae, and Sh. sonnei (see col. 52, table 54). Faruque teaches studying restriction endonuclease restriction patters of rRNA genes to distinguish between different strains of sh. Flexneri. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the DNA sequences of the claimed invention for the use of probes and primers that could distinguish Shigella from E. Coli. Methods of distinguishing between different eubacteria using probes and primers that target regions of similarity and differences was readily known in the art at the time of the invention and is exemplified by the Hogan patent. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to construct probes and primers of the claimed invention to identify and differentiate E.coli from Shigella as Cilia teaches how closely related the two genus of bacteria are (see Fig 1) and Faruque teaches that closely related sequences from strains of the same bacteria can be used to differentiate the different strains. As the sequences of the 16s rRNA and rDNA sequences of the shigella species and E.coli sequences were known at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for the ordinary artisan to construct probes and primers to regions of variability to be able to differentiate the closely related bacteria. Such methods were readily known in the art as is shown by the large amount of

Application/Control Number: 09/027,439

Art Unit: 1655

Page 4

literature available in the art that identifies regions of variability among closely related bacteria for the purpose of constructing probes and primers useful in methods of differentiation.

4. No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 5.

should be directed to examiner Jehanne Souaya whose telephone number is (703)308-6565. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)

305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist whose

telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

W. Gar∛ Jones

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Jehanne Souaya

Jehanne Souaya

Patent examiner

Jup tem bur 10, 2001